Actin cytoskeleton-dependent Rab GTPase-regulated angiotensin type I receptor lysosomal degradation studied by fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy
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Abstract. The dynamic regulation of the cellular trafficking of human angiotensin (Ang) type 1 receptor (AT1R) is not well understood. Therefore, we investigated the cellular trafficking of AT1R-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) (AT1R-EGFP) heterologously expressed in HEK293 cells by determining the change in donor lifetime (AT1R-EGFP) in the presence of acceptor(s) using fluorescence lifetime imaging–fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy. The average lifetime of AT1R-EGFP in our donor-alone samples was ∼2.33 ns. The basal state lifetime was shortened slightly in the presence of Rab5 (2.01±0.10 ns) or Rab7 (2.11±0.11 ns) labeled with Alexa 555, as the acceptor fluorophore. A 5-min Ang II treatment markedly shortened the lifetime of AT1R-EGFP in the presence of Rab5-Alexa 555 (1.78±0.31 ns) but was affected minimally in the presence of Rab7-Alexa 555 (2.09±0.37 ns). A 30-min Ang II treatment further decreased the AT1R-EGFP lifetime in the presence of both Rab5- and Rab7-Alexa 555. Latrunculin A but not nocodazole pretreatment blocked the ability of Ang II to shorten the AT1R-EGFP lifetime. The occurrence of FRET between AT1R-EGFP (donor) and LAMP1-Alexa 555 (acceptor) with Ang II stimulation was impaired by photobleaching the acceptor. These studies demonstrate that Ang II-induced AT1R lysosomal degradation through its association with LAMP1 is regulated by Rab5/7 via mechanisms that are dependent on intact actin cytoskeletons. © 2010 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3484751]
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1 Introduction

The angiotensin (Ang) type I receptor (AT1R), a member of the superfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), is important in the regulation of cardiovascular function.1 Similar to other GPCRs,2 on agonist activation, AT1R recruits adaptor proteins with clathrin to form stable clathrin-coated vesicles.3 AT1Rs, in clathrin-coated vesicles, fuse with sorting endosomes shortly after internalization.4 This process and additional intracellular trafficking of AT1R are thought to be regulated by several Rab GTPases.2–4 To date, over 60 mammalian Rab proteins have been identified and each Rab protein appears to associate with particular subcellular compartment(s).5–13

Rab5, located in the cytoplasmic side of the plasma membrane in coated vesicle pits and early endosome membranes, regulates the internalization and sorting of GPCRs, including β2-adrenergic, and endothelin A and B receptors.4,9 It is believed that AT1Rs are sorted to Rab5-positive early endosomes after internalization.7 Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged Rab5 has been reported to communoprecipitate with AT1R, even in the basal state; however, the colocalization of AT1R with Rab5 has not been shown by confocal microscopy.5,6 Rab7, predominantly localized in large vesicles and late endocytic compartments, has been suggested to regulate vesicle trafficking between late endosomes and lysosomes,10 particularly in the vacuolar protein sorting toward and away from lysosomes.11,12 However, the mechanisms underlying the Rab5/7-regulated AT1R endocytic trafficking are not well understood. Recently, cytoskeletons have been reported to be important in the Rab GTPase-mediated regulation of AT1R endocytic trafficking.7,13 As in yeast,15 the actin cytoskeleton has been reported to be important in the formation of clathrin-coated pits and the regulation of the initial steps of AT1R internalization in mammalian cells.1,14

The microtubule cytoskeleton has also been suggested to be
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involved in the regulation of AT₁R endocytic trafficking. However, the precise roles of actin and microtubule cytoskeletons in the intracellular AT₁R trafficking remain to be determined.

Even though the AT₁R has been reported to be targeted to lysosomes for degradation, the rat AT₁AR, overexpressed in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells, has not been shown to localize in late endosomes and lysosomes following Ang II stimulation. Therefore, the role of Rab5 and Rab7 in the cellular trafficking of AT₁R, including its lysosomal degradation, is not clear. The limited resolution of confocal microscopy used in previous studies provides the rationale for additional studies, using methods that can localize associations between cellular compartments with much higher resolution [e.g., fluorescence lifetime imaging–Förster resonance energy transfer (FLIM-FRET) microscopy].

FLIM-FRET techniques are increasingly applied in biological and biomedical research because of their high sensitivity in detecting protein-protein interactions over the range of 1–10 nm. Utilizing these techniques, we reported recently that the AT₁R is degraded in proteasomes on stimulation of the D₉ dopamine receptor (D₉R) in human renal proximal tubule and HEK293 cells. This is in contrast to the lysosomal degradation of AT₁R on binding with its endogenous ligand, Ang II. However, the dynamic regulation of AT₁R degradation following Ang II stimulation is not well understood. In this report, in HEK293 cells heterologously expressing the human AT₁R tagged with enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) as the donor and Alexa 555-labeled Rab5, Rab7, and LAMP1 as the acceptors, we used conventional confocal microscopy, multiphoton FLIM-FRET microscopy, and biochemical studies to determine the roles of Rab5 and Rab7, as well as actin and microtubule cytoskeletons, in the dynamic endocytic trafficking of AT₁R. We found that following Ang II treatment, the AT₁R was internalized into early endosomes, regulated by Rab5 while the trafficking to late endosomes for eventual degradation was regulated by Rab7. Latrunculin A, an actin polymerization inhibitor, but not nocodazole, a microtubule polymerization inhibitor, blocked the Ang II-induced FRET occurrence between AT₁R-EGFP and Rab5 or Rab7, labeled with Alexa 555, indicating the requirement of intact actin but not microtubule cytoskeleton in the regulation of AT₁R endocytic trafficking by these Rab proteins in these HEK293 cells. The occurrence of FRET between AT₁R-EGFP and LAMP1-Alexa 555 following Ang II stimulation was impaired by photobleaching the acceptor, confirming the trafficking of AT₁R into lysosomes, detected by FLIM-FRET. These data demonstrate that Rab5/7-regulated AT₁R trafficking for eventual lysosomal degradation, following Ang II stimulation is actin cytoskeleton dependent.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Antibodies and Reagents

Monoclonal mouse Rab5 antibodies were purchased from BD Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, Kentucky); polyclonal rabbit anti-Rab5, polyclonal rabbit anti-Rab7, polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP, and normal mouse and rabbit antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, California); polyclonal rabbit LAMP1 antibody was from Affinity Bioreagents, Inc. (Rockford, Illinois); monoclonal GFP antibody was purchased from Clontech (Mountain View, California); Alexa Fluor 546 anti-mouse, Alexa Fluor 633 anti-rabbit, Alexa Fluor 633 anti-mouse secondary antibodies, Alexa Fluor 546 phalloidin, and Alexa-555 protein labeling kits were purchased from Invitrogen (Eugene, Oregon). Monoclonal α-tubulin antibody and Ang II were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Missouri). Latrunculin A and nocodazole were purchased from Calbiochem (Gibbstown, New Jersey).

2.2 Cell Culture and Transfection

HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, Maryland), containing 4.5 g/L glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. Human AT₁R-EGFP, or its empty vector pEGFP-N1, was transfected into HEK293 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagents (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, as previously described.

2.3 Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting

HEK293 and AT₁R-HEK293 cells were sonicated in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0/1 mM EDTA/1 mM Na₃VO₄/2 mM DTT/0.25 M sucrose), with 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride and protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, Illinois). The homogenates (1 mg) were incubated (rocking, 4 °C, 4 h) with 5 µg of anti-GFP rabbit IgG, 5 µg of anti-Rab5 rabbit IgG, or 6 µg of anti-Rab7 rabbit IgG in 0.5 mL of the lysis buffer with 20 µM MgCl₂, 0.1% ovalbumin, 0.5 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzene sulfonyl fluoride (AEBSF), 0.5% 3-[3-cholamidopropyl]dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate. Normal rabbit IgG was used as negative control. After the addition of 60 µL of a 50% slurry of protein G-Sepharose CL-4B (Amersham Pharmacia, Piscataway, New Jersey) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubation at 4 °C overnight, the beads were washed three times with 1 mL of ice-cold PBS, containing 0.5 mM AEBSF. Proteins bound to beads were eluted and separated, as previously described.

2.4 Confocal Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Cells on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, the fixed cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C with 3 µg/mL Rab5 mAb, 5 µg/mL anti-Rab7 rabbit IgG, or 2 µg/mL anti-LAMP1 rabbit IgG. After washing, the coverslips were incubated with Alexa Fluor 564 or 633 secondary antibodies for 2 h at 4 °C. Coverslips were mounted in SlowFade mounting medium (Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon) and sealed onto glass slides. Samples were imaged using an Olympus Fluoview FV300 laser scanning confocal microscope, equipped with a 60×/1.4 NA oil-immersion objective. Quantitative analysis was performed using MetaMorph 6.1 (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, Pennsylvania). Background was subtracted from each image and then the images were thresholded to identify specific protein fluorescence. Percentage of overlap of integrated intensity measurement was determined for AT₁R over Rab5, Rab7, or LAMP1.
2.5 FLIM System

The FLIM system was described in our previous report. Briefly, we used a Nikon TE300 epi-fluorescence microscope equipped with a Plan Fluor 60 ×/1.2 NA water-immersion IR objective. The microscope was coupled to a Biorad Radiance 2100 confocal/multiphoton system with the Laser-Sharp2000 software. A 10-W Verdi pumped, tunable (700–1000 nm) mode-locked ultrafast (78 MHz) pulsed (150 fs) laser (Mira 900, Coherent, Inc., Santa Clara, California) was tuned to the 870-nm wavelength to excite the donor fluorophore (EGFP). Emitted photons were collected by a fast photomultiplier tube with a 500–550-nm bandpass emission filter. The lifetime photomultiplier tube was coupled in the connection arm of the external detector with a response time of ~150 ps (PMC-100-0, Becker & Hickl GmbH, Berlin, Germany). The use of the time-correlated single-photon-counting module board (SPC-150, Becker & Hickl GmbH, Berlin, Germany) with a minimum temporal resolution of ~40 ps allowed pixel-by-pixel registration of the accumulated photons. A fluorescence decay histogram of photons at different emission times relative to the laser excitation pulse was generated from the distribution of interpulse intervals at each pixel of the image.

2.6 FLIM Data Acquisition

Polyclonal rabbit Rab5, Rab7, and LAMP1 IgG were digested by papain and separated by Sephadex G-100, and then conjugated with Alexa 555, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Alexa Fluor 555 protein labeling kits, Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon). AT1R-HEK293 cells were treated with vehicle, Ang II (100 nM, 5 and 30 min) without or with pretreatment with latrunculin A (300 nM), an actin polymerization inhibitor23 or nocodazole (10 μM), a microtubule polymerization inhibitor24 and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, cells on coverslips were incubated overnight at 4 °C with Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated Fab fragment (with a 3:1 of dye: Fab ratio) of polyclonal rabbit Rab5, Rab7, or LAMP1. Donor fluorophores were continuously scanned at the 870-nm wavelength with appropriate excitation average power for ~90 s to accumulate enough photon counts for fluorescence lifetime analysis. The same settings were used for samples in the presence or absence of the acceptor fluorophores (Alexa 555-labeled Rab5, Rab7, or LAMP1). In additional experiments, the DPSS 561-nm laser, equipped on a ZEISS 510 Meta microscope system, was employed to photobleach the acceptor fluorophores (at least 50% intensity) in the region of interest or whole visual fields. A series of pre- and postbleaching FLIM data sets were acquired using the FLIM system, as described above. The comparison of the AT1R-EGFP lifetimes obtained before and after photobleaching the acceptor fluorophores was determined by Student’s t-test from 74–89 cells from three independent sets of experiments.

2.7 FLIM Data Analysis

The data analysis was performed using the SPCImage software (V2.9, Becker & Hickl GmbH, Berlin, Germany), which also provides an estimate of the instrument response function of the FLIM system. The fluorescence lifetimes of the donor fluorophores were obtained with single or double exponential fitting on a pixel-by-pixel basis based on a weighted least-squares numerical approach as previously described.20,27 The unquenched donor lifetime was obtained by fitting the donor-alone data with the single exponential decay. The double exponential fittings were employed to extract the quenched lifetime of the donor fluorophores, in the presence of the acceptor fluorophores. The fitting significance was judged based on the χ² value and visually comparing the fitting curve with the raw data points. The average lifetime (τ_m) is used for comparison of a change in lifetime.

3 Results

3.1 Cellular Localization of Human AT1R and Rab Proteins

Consistent with previous observations,18,26 AT1R tagged with EGFP was observed primarily at the plasma membrane, but also in the intracellular compartments and cytoplasm in HEK293 cells, under basal conditions [Fig. 1(a)]. Endogenous Rab5 was observed in the cytoplasm as punctuate structures of different sizes and intracellular membrane networks; slight staining of the plasma membrane was also observed [Fig. 1(b)]. Endogenous Rab7 was scattered throughout the cytoplasm in punctuate vesicles of varying sizes, some of which were observed in perinuclear areas [Fig. 1(c)]. Minimal colocalization was observed between AT1R and nuclei [Fig. 1(d)]. However, some colocalization between AT1R and Rab5 [Fig. 1(e)], and between AT1R and Rab7 [Fig. 1(f)] was observed (see Fig. 3 for quantification).

As shown in Fig. 2, AT1R, as expected, internalized on Ang II treatment did not induce any obvious change in the subcellular localization of Rab5 [Fig. 2(b) versus Fig. 1(b)] and Rab7 [Fig. 2(c) versus Fig. 1(c)]. However, the relationship between AT1R and these Rab proteins was changed dramatically compared to the basal state (Fig. 3). Colocalization was observed between AT1R and Rab5 at...
There were a few vesicles showing the colocalization of the AT1R-HEK293 cells. AT1R-HEK293 cells were treated with vehicle for 5 min and 30 min in AT1R-HEK293 cells. Ang II induced the cellular internalization of AT1R, but not Rab5 or Rab7. Overlays of AT1R-EGFP (green) and Rab5-Alexa 546 (red); overlays of AT1R-EGFP (green) and Rab7-Alexa 633 (blue), and overlays of AT1R-EGFP (green), Rab5-Alexa 546 (red), and Rab7-Alexa 633 (blue). Bar, 10 μm.

5 min [Fig. 2(d)]. At this time point, the colocalization between AT1R and Rab7 and between AT1R and LAMP1 was modest [Figs. 2(e), 3, and 7], close to the basal state [Figs. 1(f) and 3]. When the duration of the Ang II treatment was increased to 30 min, AT1R was observed to colocalize with both Rab5 [Figs. 2(j) and 3] and Rab7 [Figs. 2(k) and 3]. There were a few vesicles showing the colocalization of the three proteins [Fig. 2(o)].

3.2 Coimmunoprecipitation of AT1R with Rab Proteins

In agreement with the morphological observations, both Rab5 [Fig. 4(a)] and Rab7 [Fig. 4(b)] coimmunoprecipitated with GFP antibody after 5 min of Ang II treatment, that became more obvious after 30 min of treatment (Fig. 4). AT1R (-EGFP) also coimmunoprecipitated with Rab5 and Rab7 antibodies with Ang II treatment (data not shown). There was no coimmunoprecipitation in the basal state, which is consistent with the absence of obvious colocalization between AT1R and Rab5 or Rab7 observed with confocal microscopy (Fig. 1), but not with a previous report using GST-tagged Rab5 in transiently transfected COS7 cells. Whether or not the discrepancy is caused by the tagging of Rab5 or cell-specific trafficking remains to be determined.

3.3 Dynamic Interaction of AT1R with Rab Proteins

To investigate further the interaction between AT1R and Rab proteins, FLIM-FRET analyses were performed in AT1R-HEK293 cells with AT1R-EGFP, as the donor fluorophore, and Rab5 or Rab7 Fab conjugated Alexa Fluor 555, as the acceptor fluorophores. First, we measured the fluorescence lifetime of AT1R-EGFP, in absence of any acceptor. Without Ang II treatment, the average lifetime was ~2.33 ns [Fig. 5(a)–5(c)]. In the absence of any acceptor, Ang II treatment, for 5 min or 30 min [Fig. 5(d)–5(f)], did not change that lifetime (Table 1). We also measured the lifetime of AT1R-EGFP in the presence of Fab of Alexa Fluor 555 that was not conjugated to Rab proteins. Additional controls included EGFP, as the donor, in the presence or absence of Alexa Fluor 555, as the acceptor. The EGFP lifetimes obtained in all these controls were similar (Table 1).

Next, we studied the lifetime of AT1R-EGFP in the presence of Rab proteins conjugated with Fab of Alexa Fluor 555. In the basal state, AT1R-EGFP lifetime was not changed by the presence of Rab7-Alexa 555, but slightly decreased in the presence of Rab5-Alexa 555 (Table 2), compared to that measured from the donor-alone control samples (Table 1). Treatment with Ang II for 5 min shortened the AT1R-EGFP lifetime in the presence of Rab5-Alexa 555, but not in the presence of Rab7-Alexa 555, relative to their corresponding values at basal state (Table 2), indicating FRET occurrence between AT1R-EGFP with Rab5-Alexa 555, but not with Rab7-Alexa 555. When the Ang II treatment was increased to...
30 min, the AT1R-EGFP lifetime was shortened in the presence of both Rab5 and Rab7 labeled with Alexa 555 (Table 2), indicating FRET occurrence between AT1R and these two Alexa 555-labeled Rab proteins.

### 3.4 Cytoskeleton-Dependent AT1R Interactions with Rab Proteins

Next, we studied the role of the cytoskeleton in the interaction of AT1R with Rab5 or Rab7 by cytoskeleton polymerization inhibitors. Latrunculin A, a blocker of the polymerization of actin filaments, did not affect AT1R-EGFP lifetime in the presence of Rab5- or Rab7-Alexa 555 without Ang II treatment (Table 2). However, latrunculin A pretreatment blocked the ability of Ang II to shorten the AT1R-EGFP lifetime in the presence of Rab5- or Rab7-Alexa 555, indicating the requirement of intact actin for Rab5 and Rab7 to regulate AT1R intracellular trafficking. Nocodazole, which disrupts the polymerization of microtubules but not actin, also had no significant effect on AT1R-EGFP lifetime on samples not treated with Ang II, in the presence of Rab5- or Rab7-Alexa 555. However, in contrast to latrunculin A pretreatment, nocodazole pretreatment did not block the ability of Ang II to shorten the AT1R-EGFP lifetime, in the presence of Rab5- or Rab7-Alexa 555. The AT1R-EGFP lifetimes measured after Ang II treatment with and without nocodazole pretreatment were similar (Table 2), indicating that intact microtubules are not required in the Rab5/7-mediated intracellular trafficking of AT1R.

### Table 1 Lifetimes of AT1R-EGFP in AT1R-HEK293 cells.

Cells were treated with Ang II (100 nM) at the indicated time periods and fixed, as described in Section 2. N, number of cells analyzed; data are mean ± S.E.; ANOVA, Student–Newman–Keuls test. No significant differences among the control groups were detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Acceptor</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Ang II (min)</th>
<th>AT1R lifetime ( \tau_2 ) (ns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT1R-EGFP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1R-EGFP</td>
<td>Alexa 555 alone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP alone</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP alone</td>
<td>Alexa 555 alone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 Analysis of the AT1R-EGFP lifetime in the absence of acceptor in AT1R-HEK293 cells. To separate the AT1R images from the background, the threshold was set at 50 (the minimum number of photon counts in the peak). The histograms (b,e) show the distribution of lifetime images of AT1R-EGFP (a,d). The decay graphs (c,f) show the trace of the fit (red) to the photon decay data (blue) at a particular pixel in the lifetime image. Scale bar, 10 μm. (Color online only.)
3.5 FLIM-FRET Analysis of AT$_1$R Association with Lysosomes

Following stimulation with Ang II, AT$_1$R has been proposed to traffic to Rab7-positive late endosomes for eventual degradation in lysosomes. Therefore, we next investigated the relationship between AT$_1$R and LAMP1, a marker protein for lysosomes. Confocal microscopy showed the colocalization of AT$_1$R with LAMP1 following a 30-min Ang II treatment, but only modest colocalization with a 5-min Ang II treatment, close to the basal state (Figs. 3 and 7).

To corroborate the morphological studies, FLIM-FRET experiments were performed to observe the AT$_1$R-EGFP lifetime, in the presence of LAMP1-Alexa 555. Similar to the basal state with Rab5-Alexa 555, there was a modest decrease in AT$_1$R-EGFP lifetime in the presence of LAMP1-Alexa 555 [Fig. 8(a)], compared to that obtained with the donor-alone samples. The decrease in AT$_1$R-EGFP lifetime in the basal state could have been caused by the acidic environment of lysosomes (see Section 4). With 5-min Ang II treatment, no obvious lifetime change was observed [Fig. 8(b)], compared to its basal state, indicating no FRET occurrence. The 30-min Ang II treatment resulted in a dramatic decrease in the lifetime of AT$_1$R-EGFP, in some AT$_1$R locations, indicating FRET occurrence between AT$_1$R-EGFP and LAMP1-Alexa 555 in those locations [Fig. 8(c)], suggesting this portion of AT$_1$R degraded in lysosomes. Chloroquine, a lysosome inhibitor, abrogated the lifetime change that occurred with the 30-min Ang II treatment (data not shown).

To confirm the observation of FRET occurrence between AT$_1$R-EGFP and LAMP1-Alexa 555 with the 30-min Ang II treatment detected by FLIM-FRET analysis, acceptor photobleaching experiments were performed. The average lifetime of AT$_1$R-EGFP was $1.82 \pm 0.04$ ns ($n=89$ cells) after photobleaching the acceptor, which was significantly longer than that obtained prior to bleaching ($1.53 \pm 0.07$ ns, $n=74$ cells). In contrast, photobleaching the EGFP alone-Alexa 555 samples showed no lifetime changes (Table 2).

Table 2: Effect of Ang II on the lifetime of AT$_1$R-EGFP in AT$_1$R-HEK293 cells. Cells were treated with Ang II (100 nM) at the indicated time periods and fixed, as described in Section 2. Data are mean±S.E.; $N$, number of cells analyzed; ANOVA, Student-Newman-Keuls test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Acceptor</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Ang II (min)</th>
<th>AT$_1$R lifetime $r_0$ (ns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Alexa 555 alone</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.33 \pm 0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.31 \pm 0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.32±0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Rab5-Alexa 555</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.01 \pm 0.10^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1.78 \pm 0.31^{a,b}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$1.56 \pm 0.41^{a-c}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Rab7-Alexa 555</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.11 \pm 0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.09 \pm 0.37$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$1.81 \pm 0.09^{a-c}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Rab5-Alexa 555</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Latrunculin A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.35 \pm 0.23$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.36 \pm 0.29$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$2.41 \pm 0.34$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Rab7-Alexa 555</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Latrunculin A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.38 \pm 0.33$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.46 \pm 0.38$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$2.55 \pm 0.41$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Rab5-Alexa 555</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nocodazole</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.18 \pm 0.13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1.69 \pm 0.34^{a,b}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$1.60 \pm 0.21^{a-c}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT$_1$R-EGFP</td>
<td>Rab7-Alexa 555</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Nocodazole</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2.41 \pm 0.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.39 \pm 0.43$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$1.73 \pm 0.17^{a-c}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^aP<0.05$ versus Alexa 555 alone as the acceptor, Ang II 0 min treatment.
$^bP<0.05$ versus Ang II 0 min treatment.
$^cP<0.05$ versus Ang II 5 min treatment.
alone samples by the DPSS 561 laser (using the same power and duration of photobleaching) did not change the donor lifetime (Fig. 9). These studies support the conclusion of an association of AT₁R with LAMP1 and that following Ang II stimulation, the AT₁R is trafficked to lysosomes for eventual degradation.

4 Discussion

AT₁R, similar to other GPCRs, undergoes a series of intracellular trafficking processes on stimulation with its agonist. The sorting endosome is the first compartment in the intracellular trafficking pathway in which AT₁R is targeted to its destination, either for recycling to the plasma membrane or to the late endosome for eventual lysosomal degradation. Rab5 is considered important in the early endosome fusion. Although the GTPase activity of Rab5 does not regulate the internalization of AT₁R, it interacts with the C-terminal domain of AT₁R in a yeast two-hybrid system. Our current study, showing the colocalization (Fig. 2), and coinmunoprecipitation (Fig. 4) of Rab5 with AT₁R, as well as the shortening of AT₁R lifetime (Table 2) following Ang II treatment, is consistent with a role of Rab5 in the vesicle fusion and sorting of AT₁R to early endosomes.

The trafficking of GPCRs from sorting endosomes to late endosomes is not as well established as their fusion with early sorting endosomes. Rab7 is speculated to regulate this process because of its localization in late endosomes and lysosomes. Overexpression of Rab7 and its active form Rab7-Q67L, but not its dominant-negative form Rab7-N125I, has been reported to increase the lysosomal degradation of AT₁R in response to Ang II treatment. Our current study, showing the colocalization and coinmunoprecipitation of Rab7 with AT₁R (Figs. 2 and 4), as well as the shortening of AT₁R lifetime (Table 2) following Ang II treatment, is consistent with a role of Rab7 in the sorting of AT₁R to late endosomes.

The molecular mechanisms involved in Rab5- and Rab7-regulated GPCR endocytic trafficking and sorting pathways are not well known. Both actin and microtubule cytoskeletons play important roles in the regulation of Rab GTPase-mediated intracellular trafficking of membrane proteins. Some GPCRs (e.g., parathyroid hormone receptor) mainly require actin cytoskeleton for their intracellular trafficking; other GPCRs (e.g., A₁ adenosine receptor) mainly require microtubules; while some other GPCRs (e.g., metabotropic glutamate receptor 5) require both actin and microtubules for their intracellular trafficking. It has been established that the actin cytoskeleton is important in the internalization and trafficking of AT₁R through early and late endosomes or recycling endosomes following the formation of clathrin-coated vesicles upon Ang II stimulation. Recently, a role of microtubules in the regulation of AT₁R signaling and intracellular trafficking has been demonstrated. Kolb et al. reported that the microtubule is important in the externalization (apical recycling) of AT₁R. In rat renal proximal tubule cells, disruption of microtubules inhibits apical Ang II-induced IP₃ generation, indicating that microtubules are needed for AT₁R function in apical but not in basolateral

Fig. 7 Subcellular distribution of AT₁R-EGFP and LAMP1-Alexa 633 in AT₁R-HEK293 cells. Cells were treated with Ang II (100 nM) at the indicated time periods, as described in Section 2. Green, AT₁R-EGFP; Red, LAMP1-Alexa 633; yellow, colocalization. Bar, 10 μm. (Color online only.)

Fig. 8 FLIM-FRET analysis of AT₁R-EGFP interaction with LAMP1-Alexa 555 in AT₁R-HEK293 cells. Cells were treated with (a) vehicle (n=15 cells), Ang II (100 nM) for (b) 5 min (n=8 cells) or (c) 30 min (n=15 cells), as described in Section 2. The AT₁R-EGFP lifetime was analyzed in the presence of LAMP1-Alexa 555. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Fig. 9 Comparison of the AT₁R-EGFP lifetimes obtained before and after photobleaching the acceptor, LAMP1-Alexa 555. AT₁R-HEK293 cells were treated with Ang II (100 nM) for 30 min, in the presence of LAMP1-Alexa 555 (acceptor). The DPSS 561 laser was used to photobleach the acceptor. Data are mean±S.E.; * P<0.05, Student’s t-test.
membrane. Li et al. \(^{36,37}\) have also reported that microtubules are important in the AT\(_1\)R trafficking upon Ang II treatment. However, they suggested that the initial steps in membrane endocytosis may involve clathrin-coated pits, presumably via actin-dependent mechanisms. Our current study, using FLIM-FRET microscopy and cytoskeleton inhibitors, provides direct evidence for a role of actin in the early stages (5–30 min) of AT\(_1\)R intracellular trafficking following Ang II stimulation.

Both actins and microtubules are probably important in the AT\(_1\)R trafficking that is time (early versus late) and location (apical versus basolateral membrane) specific. Actins provide forces for membrane invaginations, vesicle propelling, scalfolding protein–protein interaction, and modulation of membrane plasticity,\(^{30}\) while microtubules are mainly responsible for the movement of newly budded vesicles and important for directed transport, particularly in polarized cells.\(^{30}\) In agreement with Li et al.\(^{36,37}\), microtubules are not important in the initial steps of AT\(_1\)R intracellular trafficking upon Ang II stimulation (Table 2). Instead, intact actin motors are utilized in lieu of microtubules in the early trafficking of AT\(_1\)R.

The utility of conventional confocal microscopy in evaluating protein-protein interaction is limited by its resolution (\(\sim 250\) nm). This barrier can be overcome by using FRET microscopy, which provides a sensitive tool for investigating a variety of biological phenomena that produce changes in molecular proximity over a range of 1–10 nm. However, most of intensity-based FRET techniques require complicated algorithms to remove the spectral bleed-through from both donor and acceptor fluorophores.\(^{38}\) In contrast, FLIM-FRET microscopy allows quantitative monitoring of protein–protein interactions by measuring the change in lifetime of the donor fluorophore, EGFP in the current experiments. In FLIM-FRET microscopy, spectral bleed-through is usually not an issue because only the donor signals are monitored, and fluorescence lifetime is not influenced by probe concentration, excitation light intensity, light scattering, or stoichiometry; these characteristics make FLIM-FRET microscopy an accurate method for FRET measurements.\(^ {30,21,27}\) FLIM-FRET microscopy was used in the current study to observe the change of AT\(_1\)R-EGFP lifetime, in the presence of fluorescently labeled Rab5, Rab7, and LAMP1. Over the last decade, various pairs of mutant green fluorescent protein (GFP) fluorophores have been used successfully in FLIM-FRET studies.\(^{19–21}\) In our system, the donor is the EGFP-tagged AT\(_1\)R and the acceptor is the Alexa 555-labeled Fab fragment of Rab5, Rab7, or LAMP1. Cy3-labeled antibody, as the acceptor, paired with GFP, as the donor, has been used previously in COS7 cells.\(^{39}\) The ability of antibody-labeled acceptor fluorophores to increase the chance of ideal orientation with the donor EGFP, and the easier application of antibody labeling are advantages over the double DNA plasmid transfection method. In this study, the lifetime of AT\(_1\)R-EGFP is shortened slightly but significantly, in the presence of Alexa fluor 555-labeled Rab5 and LAMP1, relative to that in the donor-alone samples in the basal state (Table 2). With Ang II stimulation, the lifetime of AT\(_1\)R-EGFP is decreased markedly (Table 2). The decrease in AT\(_1\)R-EGFP lifetime could be due to the interaction of AT\(_1\)R with these acceptors or a change in the local environment around the AT\(_1\)R molecules, such as pH, concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) and other ions.\(^{19–21}\) The acidic environment can also cause a conformational change of donor and acceptor fluorophores. The luminal pH is supposed to decrease in sorting and late endosomes, and especially in lysosomes.\(^{30}\) The decrease in AT\(_1\)R-EGFP lifetime in the basal state could be due to the acidic pH of sorting endosomes and lysosomes.\(^ {20–21}\) However, the acidic pH is not the only explanation because the same phenomenon is not observed in the presence of Rab7-Alexa 555 (Table 2). Fluorescence lifetime can be affected by many local environment factors, such as pH, concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\), and other ions.\(^{41,42}\) Nonetheless, we may still be able to interpret the FLIM-FRET results properly because they are corroborated by both morphological and biochemical studies. The characterization of pH and other local environmental factors on their effect on AT\(_1\)R-EGFP lifetime remains to be studied.

We have reported previously that the AT\(_1\)R is sorted into proteasomes from the plasma membrane through the interaction with D\(_2\)R following the addition of fenoldopam, a D\(_2\)R agonist.\(^ {22}\) The lifetime of AT\(_1\)R-EGFP, the donor, is shortened to 1.68 ns with D\(_2\)R stimulation, in the presence of ubiquitin as the acceptor fluorophore, suggesting that a fraction of AT\(_1\)Rs is polyubiquitinated and processed for proteasomal degradation.\(^ {25}\) Our previous FRET studies showed that some of the internalized AT\(_1\)Rs escape degradation and recycle back to the plasma membrane, coordinately, via Rab4 and Rab11 following Ang II stimulation.\(^ {26}\) In this study, Ang II treatment shortens the AT\(_1\)R-EGFP lifetime, in the presence of Rab5, Rab7, and LAMP1 labeled with Alexa 555 (Table 2, Figs. 8 and 9), in a time-coordinated manner. These results indicate that internalized AT\(_1\)Rs are sorted into Rab5-positive sorting endosomes, subsequently into Rab7-positive late endosomes, and then to lysosomes for eventual degradation. It is not clear how cells control these distinct endocytic pathways for AT\(_1\)R in different situations. Rab7 has been suggested recently as a potential master switch that controls distinct intracellular endocytic pathways through its role in the recruitment of retromer complexes proteins, Rab-interacting proteins, and cytoskeletons.\(^ {18}\) Whether or not this is the case for AT\(_1\)R endocytic trafficking requires further investigation.
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