

The Nanosatellite Revolution: 30 Years and Continuing

The Nanosatellite Revolution: 30 Years and Continuing

H. Helvajian and S. W. Janson
Editors

SPIE PRESS
Bellingham, Washington USA

Library of Congress Control Number: 2021949781

Published by
SPIE
P.O. Box 10
Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA
Phone: +1 360.676.3290
Fax: +1 360.647.1445
Email: books@spie.org
Web: www.spie.org

Copyright © 2023 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means without written permission of the publisher.

The content of this book reflects the work and thought of the authors and editors. Every effort has been made to publish reliable and accurate information herein, but the publisher is not responsible for the validity of the information or for any outcomes resulting from reliance thereon.

Cover design concept by Joseph Hidalgo and Julia Kopischke.

Printed in the United States of America.

First printing 2023.

For updates to this book, visit <https://spie.org> and type “PM347” in the search field.

SPIE.

*“Hope lies in dreams, in imagination and in the courage
of those who dare to make dreams into reality”*

Jonas E. Salk (1914–1995)

Foreword

On March 17, 1958, Vanguard 1 was launched, becoming the fourth satellite to orbit the Earth and the first to have solar electric power. With a mass just under 1.5 kilograms, Vanguard 1 was also the first of what we would later come to know as *nanosatellites*, unwittingly planting the seeds of a technological revolution that was still decades away from fruition.

Today, we are fortunate to be able to look back on that momentous flight and recognize its significance in the long arc of satellite development. Just as exciting is our ability to look forward and dream of the untold advancements that await us.

Through the tireless efforts of scientists and engineers dating back to the early 1990s, nanosatellites have steadily evolved from technology demonstration testbeds to practical and commercially viable devices poised to form the backbone of many emerging space architectures. Along the way, they've carved the path for countless innovations and served as an accessible entry point for researchers, entrepreneurs, students, and others to begin experimenting with satellites of their own.

This book, the fifth in a series on this subject, chronicles the progress and promise of the nanosatellite revolution. It serves as a testament to the many great achievements that have brought us to this place in history and offers a tantalizing glimpse of what's still to come. The pages ahead speak to the dedication and perseverance that have taken an initial vision from 30 years ago and turned it into a reality benefitting the global space community.

The Aerospace Corporation is proud of the role its people have played in helping shape and advance the nanosatellite revolution, and of our continued efforts to push the state of the art for what nanosatellites can accomplish.

From Earth observation to communication to navigation, the possibilities of what can be done on orbit by ever-smaller satellites are seemingly boundless. As launch costs continue to drop, even more nanosatellites will take their place among the stars and the scale of their impact will only multiply. And while this growth will lead to new challenges to overcome and solutions to be developed, the nanosatellite community is more than up to the task.

The innovative spirit that has propelled the nanosatellite field forward for decades still shines brightly. May it continue to serve as a beacon to all those seeking to advance humankind and our place in the skies above.



Steve Isakowitz
President and CEO
The Aerospace Corporation

Acknowledgments

The editors would like to thank the contributing authors and their supporting organizations who have appeared in these pages. They have shared with us their insight, successes, and failures. The nanosatellite industry stands on the shoulders of these contributors and others who do not appear in this book. They have pushed the idea that small satellites and nanosatellites can contribute to the better understanding of not only our near-Earth space environment but perhaps also to those worlds not yet touched by humankind.

A book such as this does not come into existence without the unflinching support of the people and the organization sponsoring it. Given the length of time it has taken to produce this book, delayed and marred by events surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic, it is a testament to the commitment of the sponsoring organization who insured its publication. The editors are indebted to The Aerospace Corporation who sponsored this work, the Aerospace senior management Sherrie Zacharius (retired) and Sabrina Steele, along with Bryan Tsunoda (retired), who maintained the ethic that we finish what we start. To Denise Betts who guided the production having little resources in the way of a printing press, to Richard Lindsey for his meticulous editorial services to ensure that the written words were consistent and as effective as possible, to Joseph Hidalgo and Julia Kopischke for the creative design of the book cover that, in one picture, reveals the astonishing achievements of the nanosatellite.

Finally, and on a personal note, the editors would like to thank their families and friends for their patience during the assembly of this fifth codex on the topic of miniaturizing space systems and nanosatellites.

Preface

“The Nanosatellite Revolution: 30 Years and Continuing”

This work assembles chapters from contributors across our planet to document technologies, applications, missions, licensing requirements, and lessons learned by individuals and organizations that have participated in the nanosatellite revolution. This book is not intended as a “how to” or as a university reference to design, build, and fly nanosatellites but as a deeper-level reference on what has and hasn’t worked in previous nanosatellite programs. Like our previous compendium, *Small Satellites: Past, Present, and Future*, this book provides details on small-satellite efforts, in this case nanosatellites, from the perspective of the individual chapter authors. Many chapters act as a historical reference for particular programs. We realized that some important efforts such as the outstanding nanosatellite work at the NASA-Ames Research Center and in Russia and China were missing from the previous book, so we solicited and received chapters on these efforts. Our previous book was published in 2008, and we wanted to assemble an updated work to cover new advancements in this exploding field. If you plot yearly nanosatellite launch rates vs. launch year using a logarithmic scale on the vertical launch rate axis, you will discover that a tsunami-like second wave of nanosatellite launches started in 1997, with exponential growth that doubles every 2.44 years. The graph, shown simplistically on the cover, validates the use of the term “nanosatellite revolution.” Note that the 1997 start date was determined by analyzing launch rates through 2022, and this could change by a few years as we add more data each year.

This book is organized into four sections: a section on missions, a section on technologies, a section on policy, and a final section on future perspectives. In reality, nearly every contribution has elements relating to all three sections. Mission investigations show that nanosatellites or CubeSats (synonymous to us) have evolved from serving as technology demonstration testbeds to providing practical and commercially useful data from space. Nanosatellites were never intended to replace large satellites, except when used in

constellations. Companies and governments will continue to permeate low Earth orbit (LEO) with small, micro-, and nanosatellites to form mega-constellations, and inadvertently increase the probability of accidental collision between satellites and debris objects. The problem is further exacerbated if a significant number of satellites arrive partially or totally disabled on orbit. We believe that the future of nanosatellites remains positive and that industry in collaboration with government organizations will self-police to ensure safe access and operations in space. One radical approach to actively reduce the density of ~ 10 -cm scale orbital-debris objects is given in Chapter 24.

Nanosatellites were the first spacecraft the United States attempted to launch in response to the former Soviet Union successfully orbiting the Sputnik-1 and -2 microsatellites (10 to 100 kg mass). Unfortunately, the Vanguard TV-3 and -TSG nanosatellites had launch failures. Explorer-1 became the first U.S. satellite, a microsatellite, to reach orbit in 1958, followed by the first U.S. nanosatellite, Vanguard-1. Satellites were launched by government agencies, and the early flight success rate was miserable; only 55% for the Soviet Union and 37% for the United States between October 1957 and April 1960. Emphasis soon shifted to manned spaceflight, and satellite launch masses grew considerably in the 1960s and 1970s as launch vehicles evolved in reliability and throw-weight capability to support manned operations in LEO, and then, in cis-lunar space.

From the start of the Space Age in 1957 through 1994, only 54 active nanosatellites were launched, yielding a miniscule average launch rate of 1.42 nanosatellites per year. More than 500 passive nanosatellites were launched or ejected on orbit, primarily by the Soviet Union, to reflect light or radio waves to calibrate ground-based sensors and to monitor atmospheric density through orbital decay, but these were just structures without any electronic systems (e.g., energy conversion and storage, communications, command, and control, etc.) required by a true satellite. The highest launch rate of eight active nanosatellites per year occurred in 1965 and in 1967. After that, nanosatellite launch rates declined rapidly and dropped to zero per year between 1973 and 1989. Nanosatellites re-emerged in the 1990s with a meager average active nanosatellite launch rate of 1.8 per year. No one noticed the start of the nanosatellite revolution during the 1990s, or even through most of the 2000s (2000–2009) with an average launch rate of only 3.3 per year. The nanosatellite revolution started slowly, fueled by advancements in miniaturized electronics and microelectromechanical systems for the consumer market, small satellite flight experience gained by the Amateur Radio Satellite Corporation (AMSAT, a not-for-profit organization dedicated to amateur radio enthusiasts that had flown more than 45 small satellites, mostly microsatellites, by the year 1997), and access to affordable space launch opportunities using the truly revolutionary CubeSat containerized satellite

concept that was born in Silicon Valley. The nanosatellite revolution started in universities and government labs, but then spread to for-profit commercial companies to provide game-changing services in LEO to a variety of civilian and governmental customers. Planet Labs (now Planet) developed the audacious plan of flying hundreds of CubeSats in LEO to provide 5-meter ground resolution imagery, with daily revisit times, to anyone who would buy the data. Entrepreneurs saw a new market, and new launch service providers were born. The availability of launch on an almost monthly basis, from multiple vendors to moderate cost, spurred the development of even more nanosatellite service providers, resulting in hundreds of nanosatellites being launched each year. *Vive la révolution!*

Our nanosatellite revolution started at The Aerospace Corporation when three intrepid researchers (S. W. Janson, E. Y. Robinson, and H. Helvajian) assembled a community of interest to study miniaturization technologies prevailing at the time to reduce satellite mass. One output was the radical concept of the kilogram-mass integrated-silicon nanosatellite formally presented at the 1993 International Astronautics Federation Conference in Graz, Austria. This was followed by four books and technical publications that focused on miniaturization technologies, development of nanosatellite concepts and technologies, and the presentation of results from small-satellite missions—both successful and failed. The last work was an edited book, *Small Satellites: Past, Present, and Future*, containing 24 chapters on small satellites from U.S., Canadian, Japanese, German, Dutch, and British authors. This new fifth work, and likely the last from these editors, continues our tradition by documenting results from selected nanosatellite missions and technologies, and governmental requirements to launch and fly missions. We expanded our international coverage to include Russian, Chinese, and Singaporean authors, and significantly expanded the gender diversity of our chapter authors. Similar to our prior works, this book also reflects on the future to not only identify driving technologies that will propel further advancement, but also to highlight impending issues spawned by the nanosatellite revolution such as a rapid increase in the orbital debris environment.

Nanosatellite and small-satellite capabilities will continue to increase over time due to the continuing advances in radio frequency electronics, microelectromechanical systems, photonics, power management and storage, materials development, and the increasing computational processing and data storage capabilities that can be put into a single square centimeter of silicon. Many successful nanosatellite-based commercial space enterprises like Planet, Spire, and Swarm are established or underway, and more are expected in the near future. Nanosatellites initially enabled university-class researchers to inexpensively build and fly space experiments, and now they also enable entrepreneurs and venture capitalists to expand commercialism into LEO, and beyond. The perseverance of nanosatellite researchers worldwide during the

past 30 years has transformed imagination into practical reality. The quote from Jonas Salk in the opening pages of this book serves as a gentle nod to these dedicated researchers.

In conclusion, we make note of additional trends that are worth observation. (1) The increasing sophistication that can be packaged in a nanosatellite bus and the increasing capability of ride-sharing permit use of nanosatellites as robotic probes that can be sent to explore our solar system with far more detail than has been achieved to date, not only to planets, but to moons and asteroids. (2) In a similar vein, nanosatellites can become the mass-producible building blocks for assembling large argosies (a merchant ship or fleet of merchant ships) in space: “the smart brick.” In fact, we predict an era of space development that is enabled by self-assembly using smaller, functional units.

Finally, we thank the authors who report in these pages—we thank them for their contributions, their vision, and their perseverance. We know of many more stories that confirm the utility of the nanosatellite as a viable space tool, and we anticipate the next 25 to 30 years to be a watershed for nanosatellite proliferation.

Henry Helvajian
Siegfried Janson
Editors
April 2023

Contributors

Chapter 1

Anatoli A. Petrukovich
Space Research Institute, Russian
Academy of Sciences, Moscow,
Russia

Oleg V. Nikiforov
Space Research Institute, Russian
Academy of Sciences, Moscow,
Russia

Alexei A. Romanov
JSC Russian Space Systems,
Moscow, Russia

Chapter 2

John W. Hines
JH Technology Associates LLC,
San Francisco, CA, and NASA
Ames Research Center (Ret.);
Moffett Field, CA, U.S.A.

Antonio J. Ricco
Stanford University, Stanford,
CA, and NASA Ames Research
Center, Moffett Field,
CA, U.S.A.

Elwood F. Agasid
Ames Research Center, Moffett
Field, CA, U.S.A.

Bruce D. Yost
NASA Ames Research Center,
Moffett Field, CA, U.S.A.

Julianna L. Fishman
Technology Horse LLC, Scotts
Valley, CA, and NASA Ames
Research Center, Moffett Field,
CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 3

Hiroyuki Koizumi
The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa,
Chiba, Japan

Chapter 4

Kara A. O'Donnell
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Gregory G. Richardson
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 5

Andrew T. Klesh
California Institute of Technology,
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

John Baker
California Institute of Technology,
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Joel Krajewski
California Institute of Technology,
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 6

Shufan Wu
Shanghai Jiao Tong University,
School of Aeronautics and
Astronautics, Shanghai 200240, China

Chapter 7

Jerome K. Fuller
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

David A. Hinkley
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Brian S. Hardy
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Justin H. Lee
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Robert E. Stevens
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

J. Bernard Blake
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 8

Cher-Hiang Goh
Engineering Design and Innovation
Centre (EDIC), National University
of Singapore

Eugene Ee Wei Han
Engineering Design and Innovation
Centre (EDIC), National University
of Singapore

Sha Luo
Department of Electrical and
Computer Engineering (ECE),
National University of Singapore

Ajie Nayaka Nikicio
Department of Electrical and
Computer Engineering (ECE),
National University of Singapore

Dan Feng
National University of Singapore

Harsh Kumar
Centre for Quantum Technologies
(CQT), National University of
Singapore

Alexander Euk-Jin Ling
Centre for Quantum Technologies
(CQT), National University of
Singapore

Chapter 9

Laura M. Bradbury
Space Flight Laboratory,
University of Toronto Institute for
Aerospace Studies, Toronto,
Ontario, Canada

Bjorn T. Narheim
Narheim – Microspace Consultant
(NAMICO), Skjetten, Norway.

Jon Harr
Norwegian Space Agency, Oslo,
Norway

Bo Andersen
Norwegian Space Agency, Oslo,
Norway

Torkild Eriksen
Norwegian Defence Research
Establishment (FFI), Kjeller,
Norway

Øystein Olsen
Norwegian Defence Research
Establishment (FFI), Kjeller,
Norway

Øystein Hellenen
Norwegian Defence Research
Establishment (FFI), Kjeller,
Norway

Richard Olsen
Norwegian Defence Research
Establishment (FFI), Kjeller,
Norway

Daniel D. Kekez
Space Flight Laboratory, University
of Toronto Institute for Aerospace
Studies, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Alex M. Beattie
Space Flight Laboratory, University
of Toronto Institute for Aerospace
Studies, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Robert E. Zee
Space Flight Laboratory,
University of Toronto Institute for
Aerospace Studies, Toronto,
Ontario, Canada

Chapter 10

Dong L. Wu
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Jeffrey R. Piepmeier
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Jaime Esper
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Negar Ehsa
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Paul E. Racette
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Thomas E. Johnson
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Brian S. Abresch
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD,
U.S.A.

Eric Bryerton
Virginia Diodes, Inc. (VDI),
Charlottesville, VA, U.S.A.

Chapter 11

Joseph A. Aguilar
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Mark J. Barrera
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Albert S. Tsuda
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Catherine C. Venturini
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 12

Luis Gomes
Surrey Satellite Technology Limited,
Guildford, United Kingdom

Chapter 13

Fred G. Kennedy
Defense Advanced Research
Projects Agency, Arlington, VA,
U.S.A.

Srimal W. Choi
Defense Advanced Research
Projects Agency, Arlington, VA,
U.S.A.

Chapter 14

T. S. Rose
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

D. W. Rowen
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

S. LaLumondiere
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

N. I. Werner
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

R. Linares
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

A. Faler
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

J. Wicker
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

C. M. Coffman
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

G. A. Maul
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

D. H. Chien
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

A. Utter
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

R. P. Welle
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

S. W. Janson (retired)
The Aerospace Corporation,
2310 E. El Segundo Blvd.,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 15

Hiroki Akagi
Japan Aerospace Exploration
Agency (JAXA), Tsukuba, Ibaraki,
Japan

Chapter 16

John D. Wrbanek
NASA Glenn Research Center,
Cleveland, OH, U.S.A.

Susan Y. Wrbanek
NASA Glenn Research Center,
Cleveland, OH, U.S.A.

Chapter 17

Rebecca L. Bishop
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 18

Scott H. Schaire
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center, Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A.

Serhat Altunc
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center, Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A.

Yen F. Wong
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center, Greenbelt, Maryland,
U.S.A.

Marta B. Shelton
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center, Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A.

Obadiah Kegege
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center, Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A.

Christopher J. Roberts
NASA Goddard Space Flight
Center, Greenbelt, MD, U.S.A.

Yudhajeet Dasgupta
ATLAS Space Operations, Traverse
City, MI, U.S.A.

Wesley Faler
Miles Space, Tampa, FL, U.S.A.

Peter B. Celeste
Booz Allen Hamilton, Annapolis
Junction, MD, U.S.A.

Patricia R. Perrotto
Booz Allen Hamilton, Annapolis
Junction, MD, U.S.A.

Chapter 19

Sebastian Tamrazian
Purdue University, School of
Aeronautics and Astronautics,
West Lafayette, IN, U.S.A.

McClain M. Goggin
Purdue University, School of
Aeronautics and Astronautics,
West Lafayette, IN, U.S.A.

Aaron J. Pikus
Purdue University, School of
Aeronautics and Astronautics,
West Lafayette, IN, U.S.A.

Alina A. Alexeenko
Purdue University, School of
Aeronautics and Astronautics,
West Lafayette, IN, U.S.A.

Nicholas J. Zuiker
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign, Department of
Aerospace Engineering,
Urbana-Champaign, IL, U.S.A.

Zachary R. Putnam
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign, Department of
Aerospace Engineering,
Urbana-Champaign, IL, U.S.A.

Deborah A. Levin
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign, Department of
Aerospace Engineering,
Urbana-Champaign, IL, U.S.A.

Chapter 20

Hugo S. B. Brouwer
ISIS – Innovative Solutions In
Space, Delft, The Netherlands

Chapter 21

Barbara M. Braun
The Aerospace Corporation,
Albuquerque, NM, U.S.A.

Sam M. Sims
The Aerospace Corporation,
Albuquerque, NM, U.S.A.

Chapter 22

Glenn Earl Peterson
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 23

Victor Wei-Ting Loke
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Dan Feng
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Cher-Hiang Goh
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Rakkappan Lakshmanan
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Benedict Wen-Yong Chong
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Jade Zhi-Qi Lim
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Rebecca Hui-Yi Ng
National University of Singapore,
Singapore

Chapter 24

Siegfried W. Janson (retired)
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 25

Henry Helvajian
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Chapter 26

Slava G. Turyshev
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Michael Shao
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Leon Alkalai
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Janice Shen
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Mark R. Swain
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Hanying Zhou
Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Viktor T. Toth
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Louis D. Friedman
The Planetary Society, Pasadena,
CA, U.S.A.

Dmitri Mawet
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

Henry Helvajian
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Tom Heinsheimer
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Siegfried Janson (retired)
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Zigmond Leszczynski
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

John McVey
The Aerospace Corporation,
El Segundo, CA, U.S.A.

Darren Garber
NXTRAC Inc., Redondo Beach,
CA, U.S.A.

Artur Davoyan
Department of Mechanical and
Aerospace Engineering, University
of California, Los Angeles, CA,
U.S.A.

Seth Redfield
Wesleyan University, Middletown,
CT, U.S.A.

Jared R. Males
Department of Astronomy and
Stewart Observatory,
University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ,
U.S.A.

Dedication

In Memory of Ernest Y. Robinson

This book is dedicated to “Ernie” Robinson, a brilliant engineer and a real “mensch” (a term from Middle High German and Yiddish meaning a person of integrity and honor). Ernie Robinson worked for both NASA’s Jet Propulsion Laboratory and The Aerospace Corporation in Southern California. In the early 1990s, during a serendipitous meeting with the current editors of this book, a trio formed that would begin to champion perspectives that functional satellites of substantially smaller size could be produced. Ernie was impassioned to advocate the concept with superhuman commitment. Bob Twiggs, of Stanford University, was brought into the fold, and then he developed the “killer app” CubeSat form factor. For over a decade, three people at Aerospace, Ernie and the two editors of this book, were mentors and mentees to each other. Ernie passed away since our last book on small satellites, but we are sure he would smile every time a new small satellite is launched into orbit or beyond. Thank you, Ernie.

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Preface: “The Nanosatellite Revolution: 30 Years and Continuing”</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Contributors</i>	<i>xv</i>
1 Small Spacecraft for In-Situ Plasma Research and Review of Russian Small-Satellite Format	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Space Weather Science and Spacecraft	2
1.3 Magnetospheric Spacecraft	4
1.4 Ionospheric Spacecraft	6
1.5 Estimating Effectiveness of Scientific Spacecraft	10
1.6 Russian Micro and Nano Spacecraft	12
1.7 Discussion and Conclusions	18
References	19
2 The Start of Something Great! How NASA Ames Launched the NASA Biological and Scientific CubeSat Revolution	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Background	26
2.3 NASA Ames Nanosatellite Platforms and Missions	28
2.4 Summary and Conclusions	63
2.5 Conclusions	63
2.6 Acknowledgments	67
References	68
3 One Year On-Orbit Operation of a Micropropulsion System Using an Ion Thruster and Cold-Gas Thrusters on the 50-Kg-Class Micro Space Probe, PROCYON	73
3.1 Introduction	73
3.2 Initial Checkout Since December 3, 2014	82
3.3 Trouble Shooting for Ion Thruster Steady Operation	89
3.4 High-Voltage Anomaly Since March 10, 2015	99
3.5 Trajectory Correction Maneuver Test of Cold-Gas Thrusters	103
3.6 Conclusion	108

3.7	Acknowledgments	110
	References	111
4	Small-Satellite Trending and Reliability 2009–2018	115
4.1	Abstract	115
4.2	Introduction	116
4.3	Background	117
4.4	Methodology	120
4.5	Results	122
4.6	Conclusions	136
	References	138
5	MarCO: Mars Cube One	141
5.1	Introduction	141
5.2	Early Concept Design	148
5.3	Integration and Test	154
5.4	Flight Readiness	158
5.5	Early Flight Operations	159
5.6	Cruise	166
5.7	Mars	168
5.8	Entry, Descent, and Landing	172
5.9	Extended Mission	174
5.10	Advancing MarCO	176
5.11	Conclusion	178
5.12	Acknowledgments	179
	References	180
6	STU-2: A 3 CubeSat Constellation for Earth Observation and Marine/Air Traffic Monitoring	185
6.1	Introduction	185
6.2	Mission Requirement Analysis	186
6.3	Satellite Design	191
6.4	The Compact Camera Design	197
6.5	Multiple-Payload Design	205
6.6	STU-2A ADCS Subsystem Design	218
6.7	Electrical Power Subsystem Design	229
6.8	Ground- and Space-based ADS-B Data Fusion Analysis	239
6.9	In-Orbit Commissioning and Mission Results	249
6.10	Summary and Lessons Learned	256
6.11	Acknowledgments	259
6.12	Acronyms	260
	References	261
7	The AeroCube-6 Mission	265
7.1	Mission Genesis and Benefits	265

7.2	Satellite Design	266
7.3	Science Results	275
7.4	Solar Cell Degradation Test Results	282
7.5	Conclusion	284
7.6	Acknowledgments	284
	References	285
8	Design, Integration, Test, Launch and In-Orbit Test of NUS' First Nanosatellite – Galassia	287
8.1	Introduction	287
8.2	Mission Overview	288
8.3	System Thinking and System Design	293
8.4	System Integration	297
8.5	Environmental Test	303
8.6	Ground Segment	307
8.7	Launch and Early Orbit Phase Launch Campaign	308
8.8	Operations and Mission Payloads	312
8.9	Conclusion	318
8.10	Acknowledgments	319
	References	320
9	Space-Based AIS with a Norwegian Small-Satellite Constellation	321
9.1	Introduction	321
9.2	History of Space Initiatives in Norway	322
9.3	Space Flight Laboratory	323
9.4	AISSat-1, AISSat-2 and AISSat-3	333
9.5	NorSat-1	334
9.6	NorSat-2	335
9.7	Earth Stations	339
9.8	Operations and On-Orbit Performance	342
9.9	Next on the Pad: NorSat-3	346
9.10	Conclusion	350
9.11	Acknowledgments	350
	References	350
10	IceCube: Submillimeter-Wave Technology Development for Future Science on a CubeSat	355
10.1	Introduction	355
10.2	IceCube System Overview	357
10.3	Development, Launch, and Operation	366
10.4	Technology Validation	371
10.5	Cloud Observations	383
10.6	Conclusion	386
10.7	Acknowledgments	389
	References	389

11 Meeting the Challenge of More-Capable NanoSat Missions	393
11.1 Introduction	393
11.2 NanoSat Missions	394
11.3 NanoSat Technologies	397
11.4 Summary	407
References	407
12 What Is the Right Look for a Constellation of Small Satellites?	411
12.1 Introduction	411
12.2 Designing a Constellation	412
12.3 The Cost of a Constellation	426
12.4 Selecting the Right Constellation Solution	433
12.5 Conclusion	441
References	442
13 DARPA Nanosatellite Technologies: Enabling New Warfighting Constructs	443
Abstract	443
13.1 Introduction	443
13.2 Disaggregated and Fractionated Satellites: The System F6 Program	445
13.3 Cellularization	449
13.4 Autonomous, Distributed, and Persistent Spacecraft Networks: The Blackjack Program	452
13.5 Conclusion	455
References	456
14 Optical Communications from Low-Earth-Orbiting CubeSats	459
14.1 Introduction	459
14.2 The OCSD Program	460
14.3 Spacecraft Hardware	462
14.4 The Optical Downlink	466
14.5 Beyond the OCSD	471
14.6 Conclusion	473
14.7 Acknowledgments	473
References	474
15 Innovative and Unique Launch Opportunity for Small Satellites from Kibo/ISS	477
15.1 Introduction	477
15.2 JEM Small-Satellite Orbital Deployer (J-SSOD)	480
15.3 Operational Scenario for the J-SSOD Mission	482
15.4 Opportunity for Deploying Small Satellites from Kibo	485
15.5 History of Small-Satellite Deployment Missions from Kibo	485
15.6 International Cooperation via Small-Satellite Deployment from Kibo	490

15.7 Selection of Service Provider for Small-Satellite Deployment from Kibo	501
15.8 Conclusion	501
References	502
16 Multi-Aspect Cosmic Ray Ion Detectors for Deep-Space CubeSats	505
16.1 Introduction	505
16.2 Background	506
16.3 Technology Limitations	511
16.4 CubeSat Application Concept – SPAGHETI	519
16.5 Future Concept – CFIDS	523
16.6 Detector Development	526
16.7 Summary	529
16.8 Acknowledgments	531
References	531
17 GNSS Radio Occultation Sensors on Nanosatellites	537
17.1 Introduction	537
17.2 GNSS Background	537
17.3 Radio Occultation	540
17.4 RO Sensor Hardware	542
17.5 RO Mission Overview	550
17.6 Future Trends	555
17.7 Conclusion	556
References	557
18 Nanosatellite Communications	561
18.1 Overview	561
18.2 Nanosatellite Missions	563
18.3 NanoSat Communications Band Transition	565
18.4 Flight Hardware	568
18.5 Optimum Communication Concepts for NanoSats	574
18.6 Exploration and Demonstration of Enhancements for the NanoSat Community	586
18.7 Conclusion	598
18.8 Acknowledgments	598
References	599
19 SASSI², a CubeSat Sensor Platform for Atmospheric Entry Aerothermodynamics	601
19.1 Introduction	601
19.2 The SASSI ² Approach	606
19.3 The SASSI ² Payload Suite	608
19.4 Flight System	619
19.5 Concept of Operations	620

19.6 Tertiary Payload Concept	622
19.7 Conclusion	623
19.8 Acknowledgments	623
References	624
20 Water Heat Pipes as a Passive Thermal Control Method for Future CubeSat Missions	627
20.1 Introduction	627
20.2 Thermal Control Challenges in CubeSats	629
20.3 Micro Water Heat Pipes for CubeSats	633
20.4 CubeSat Application and Testing	639
20.5 Conclusion	648
References	648
21 Navigating the U.S. Policy Compliance Roadmap	651
21.1 Introduction	651
21.2 Policy Overview	652
21.3 Orbital Debris Policy	656
21.4 Spectrum Usage	660
21.5 Cyber Security/Information Assurance	662
21.6 Imaging	666
21.7 Rendezvous and Proximity Operations	667
21.8 U.S. Government Use of Small Launch Vehicles	668
21.9 Policy Flowchart Walkthrough	670
21.10 Conclusion	672
References	673
22 Small Satellites and Space Traffic Management	677
22.1 Background	677
22.2 Current Orbit Environment	679
22.3 Space Traffic Management	687
22.4 Future Collisions	694
22.5 Conclusion	698
22.6 Acknowledgments	700
References	700
23 Ground Station in the Cloud for Future Space	703
23.1 Introduction	703
23.2 The Case for Going Web	705
23.3 Front-end Design	706
23.4 Back-end Architecture	723
23.5 Data Architecture	727
23.6 Ground Station Software of the Future	731
References	731

24 Active-Membrane Spacecraft for Challenging 21st Century Missions	733
24.1 Introduction	733
24.2 Phase I Results	734
24.3 Phase II Status	745
24.4 Future Applications	763
24.5 Summary	766
24.6 Acknowledgments	767
References	767
25 HIVE: A New Architecture for Space	771
25.1 Introduction	771
25.2 HIVE as a Series of Nested Rings	774
25.3 Technical Feasibility Arguments	783
25.4 A Mission	802
25.5 Conclusion	804
25.6 Acknowledgments	805
References	805
26 Enabling High-Resolution Imaging and Spectroscopy of an Exoplanet by Use of the Solar Gravity Lens	809
26.1 Introduction	810
26.2 Objectives and Expected Significance	810
26.3 The Solar Gravitational Lens	811
26.4 Nasa's Innovative Advanced Concepts Program	814
26.5 NIAC Phase I Results	814
26.6 NIAC Phase II Program	816
26.7 Phase II and Early Phase III Highlights	818
26.8 Technology Demonstrations and Applications	855
26.9 Applications	857
26.10 Summary and Recommendations	857
26.11 Acknowledgments	858
References	858
Epilogue: "The Future Isn't What It Used to Be"	865
References	869
<i>Index</i>	871