ERRATA

Erratum: Simple peak shift analysis of time-of-flight data with a slow instrumental response function

Goro Nishimura
Mamoru Tamura
Hokkaido University
Biophysics Laboratory
Research Institute for Electronic Science
Sapporo 060-0812, Japan
E-mail: gnishi@imd.es.hokudai.ac.jp
[DOI: 10.1117/1.1925651]

In this paper, which was published in the *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, Volume **10**(1), 014016, (January/February 2005), Fig. 4 contained errors in the legends. The correct figure appears below.



Fig. 4 Peak times of the simulated TRF and the difference of broadened TRFs from the ideal ones. (a) shows the peak times of the broadened TRFs and ideal ones. Thick and thin lines are the results with $\mu_a = 0.01$ and 0.05 mm^{-1}, respectively. The open and filled symbols denote 0.1 and 0.5 ns in the width of the instrumental response function, respectively. Solid lines denote the peak of the ideal response function. The dashed and broken lines fit the peaks of TRFs to the ideal peaks with a constant offset. The data with $\mu_a = 0.01$ mm^{-1} are plotted with an offset of 0.2 ns to avoid overlap of the curves in the figure. (b) shows the difference from the ideal curve. Each symbol shows the results of the simulation with the same parameters as in (a). The lines show the constant offsets.